



extent of the reductions in the proposed budgets is not the result of real efficiency measures, but of the practice to discontinue the mandates of some of the missions. We also agree with the need to review the criteria for establishing thematic clusters as well as with other observations and recommendations of the Committee.

Based on the abovementioned, we reject the unacceptable tendency of the Secretariat to introduce the reports relating to these missions, nearly at the end of the Committee's session. We wonder whether this obvious inefficiency, which does not comply with the decisions of the General Assembly in this regard, owes to the policy decision to prevent a thorough analysis of the budget submission, in favor of the interests of some countries, particularly those using the United Nations to develop their military adventures and interventionist doctrines.

Mr. President,

Document A/66/354/Add.1 includes the resources for the Special Adviser to the Secretary-General on the Prevention of Genocide. My country, in accordance with its principled position, firmly condemns genocide and supports the functions strictly inherent in that Special Adviser, whose mandate must emanate from the intergovernmental decisions contained in paragraph 67 of said document.

My delegation categorically rejects the new inclusion of the so-called Special Adviser focusing on the responsibility to protect, although the General Assembly has not issued any statement thereupon. This inclusion reflects a clear policy position by the Secretariat and an evident contempt for

3. The reporting mechanism of both advisers is limited to the Security Council, although in the case of genocide there is a comprehensive intergovernmental normative reference, as highlighted in paragraph 67 of the report.
4. There is no legal basis to elaborate the methodology to implement the mandates relative to the responsibility to protect, as it is presented in paragraph 74 of the document. My delegation requests that the General Assembly be informed about the amount of resources associated with such activity.
5. We note selectivity in the regional organizations with which collaboration has been established, according to paragraph 77 of the report. We wonder about the reasons for that approach.
6. Paragraph 78 makes clear the intention of the Secretariat to legitimize the concept of responsibility to protect, although there is no intergovernmental mandate, by holding an interactive debate that, in our opinion, was aimed at favoring an exchange of views in the General Assembly on this matter, in accordance with resolution 63/308, with the purpose of facilitating a consensus on the concept, its content, and implications.
7. We also observe a biased approach by the Secretariat and the Special Adviser concerning information-gathering activities. We wonder, for instance, why elements relative to the instability caused in many populations by the financial crisis particularly affecting some Member States were not included.

Mr. President,

Based on abovementioned elements, my delegation will make specific proposals aimed at modifying the presentation of this Special Adviser. We are looking forward to the Secretariat's information on the allocation of resources for the activities of both special advisers. That is a precondition for us to make decisions on this budget submission.

My delegation will address the modalities to fund those missions in another statement, when the topic is presented.

Thank you

MISIÓN PERMANENTE DE CUBA ANTE LAS NACIONES UNIDAS
315 Lexington Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10016 (212) 689-7215, FAX (212) 689-9073

INTERVENCIÓN DE S.E. SRA. NORMA GOICOCHEA, EMBAJADORA, MIEMBRO
DE LA DELEGACIÓN DE CUBA ANTE LA V COMISIÓN.
SEGMENTO PRINCIPAL DEL 66º PERÍODO DE SESIONES DE LA ASAMBLEA
GENERAL.
TEMA 134: “PROYECTO DE PRESUPUESTO POR PROGRAMAS 2012-2013”.
MISIONES POLÍTICAS ESPECIALES.

5. Pese al nivel de recursos necesario para su financiamiento, no se aprecian reales medidas de eficiencia encaminadas a generar ahorros. Mi delegación concuerda con varias de las observaciones formuladas por la Comisión Consultiva que identifica que una buena parte de las reducciones en los presupuestos propuestos no responden a reales medidas de eficiencia, sino a la propia dinámica de descontinuación de mandatos en algunas de las misiones. Concordamos igualmente con la necesidad de revisar el criterio de establecimiento de los grupos temáticos, así como con otras observaciones y recomendaciones de la Comisión.

A partir de los elementos expuestos, rechazamos, por inaceptable, la tendencia de la Secretaría de introducir casi al cierre de la Comisión, los info

2. En el párrafo 71 de su propuesta, el Secretario General señala que el Asesor Especial está encargado de “seguir desarrollando y perfeccionando el concepto”, lo que resulta también inaceptable pues tal función corresponde estrictamente a la Asamblea General.
3. El mecanismo de presentación de informes de ambos asesores se limita al Consejo de Seguridad, pese a que en el caso del genocidio existe una amplia normativa intergubernamental, como se destaca en el párrafo 67 del informe.
4. No existe base legal para la elaboración de la metodología para la ejecución de los mandatos relativos a la responsabilidad de proteger, como se informa en el párrafo 74 del documento. Mi delegación solicita que se informe a la Asamblea General el monto de recursos asociados a tal actividad.
5. Apreciamos selectividad en las organizaciones regionales con las que se ha establecido cooperación, según indica el párrafo 77 del informe. Nos preguntamos las razones de tal enfoque.
6. El párrafo 78 deja clara la intención de la Secretaría de legitimar el concepto de responsabilidad de proteger, pese a que no existe mandato intergubernamental, a través de la realización del debate interactivo que, en nuestra opinión, tenía el objetivo de propiciar un intercambio de la Asamblea General sobre el tema, a tenor de la disposición de la resolución 63/308, con el objetivo de facilitar un consenso sobre el concepto, su contenido e implicaciones.
7. Apreciamos un enfoque parcializado de parte de la Se